Kinetic and mechanistic studies in the Oxidative regeneration of carbonyl compounds from oximes by diethylammonium chlorochromate

Kumawat Ketul[1], Yajurvedi Deeksha[2], Choudhary Anurag[1] and Prakash Om[1]*
1. Chemical Kinetics Lab., Department of Chemistry, J.N.V. University, Jodhpur (Rajasthan), INDIA
2. Department of Chemistry, RG Girls (PG) College, Meerut (U.P.), INDIA
*drpkvs27@yahoo.com

Abstract
The oxidative deoximination of several aldo- and keto-oximes by diethylammonium chlorochromate (DEACC), in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) exhibited a first order dependence on DEACC. A Michaelis-Menten type kinetics was observed with respect to oximes. The oxidation of ketoximes is slower than that of aldoximes. The rates of oxidation of aldoximes correlated well in terms of Pavelich-Taft dual substituent-parameter equation. The low positive value of polar reaction constant indicated a nucleophilic attack by a chromate-oxygen on the carbon.

The reaction is subject to steric hindrance by the alkyl groups. The reaction of acetaldoxime has been studied in nineteen different organic solvents. The solvent effect has been analysed by multiparametric equations. A mechanism involving the formation of a cyclic intermediate, in the rate-determining step is suggested.

Keywords: Carbonyl compounds, Dichromates, Kinetics, Mechanism, Oxidation, Oximes.

Introduction

Regeneration of carbonyl compounds from its derivatives under mild conditions is an important process in synthetic organic chemistry. Several oxidative methods are available for deoximation[9]. Salts of Cr(VI) have long been used as oxidizing reagents in synthetic organic chemistry. However, these salts are drastic and non-selective oxidants in nature. Further, they are insoluble in most of the organic solvents also. Thus miscibility is a problem.

To overcome these limitations, a large number of organic derivatives of Cr(VI) have been prepared and used in synthetic organic syntheses as mild and selective oxidants in non-aqueous solvents[13]. One such compound is diethylammonium chlorochromate (DEACC)[23]. Only a few reports are available in literature regarding oxidation aspects of DEACC[5,17,19].

It is known that the mode of oxidation depends on the nature of the counter-ion attached to the chromium anion. Therefore, in continuation of our earlier work[3,15,18,20,21], we report here the kinetics of the oxidative deoximation of several aldo- and keto-oximes by DEACC in several organic solvents but mainly in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO). mechanistic aspects have also been discussed.

Material and Methods

Materials: Oximes were prepared by the reported standard methods[24] and their melting points were checked with the literature values. DEACC was prepared by the reported method[23]. Solvents were purified by the usual procedure[17].

Product Analysis: The oxidation of the oximes results in the regeneration of corresponding carbonyl compounds as confirmed by TLC (eluent: CCl_4/Et_2O). Isolation of the product was attempted in the oxidation of oximes of benzaldehyde and acetophenone. In a typical experiment, the oxime (0.2 mol) and DEACC (0.02 mol) were dissolved in 50 ml of DMSO and allowed to stand for *ca*. 10 h for the completion of the reaction. Silica gel (5 g) was then added to the reaction mixture and the mixture was stirred for 15 min[1]. It was then filtered and the solid residue was washed with the solvent (2 % 15 ml).

The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator and the residue was purified on a silica-gel column (eluent: CCl_4/Et_2O). Evaporation of the solvent afforded the pure carbonyl compound. Yields of benzaldehyde and acetophenone were 1.83 g (86%) and 2.11 g (88%) respectively. The presence of HNO_2 in completely reduced. Reaction mixture was confirmed by a positive starch-iodide test[8]. The oxidation state of chromium in a completely reduced reaction mixture as determined by an iodometric method, is 3.95±0.10.

Kinetics Measurements: The reactions were studied under pseudo-first-order conditions by keeping a large excess (× 10 or greater) of the oxime over DEACC. The solvent was DMSO, unless mentioned otherwise. The reactions were studied at constant temperature (±0.1 K). The reactions were followed by monitoring the decrease in the concentration of DEACC at 352 nm spectrophotometrically. The pseudo-first-order rate constant, k_{obs}, was evaluated from the linear least-squares plots of log [DEACC] versus time. Duplicate kinetic runs showed the rate constants to be reproducible to within ±4%.

Results and Discussion

Stoichiometry: The analysis of products indicated the following overall reaction.

$R_2C{=}N{-}OH + 2O_2CrClO^-N^+H_2Et_2 \longrightarrow R_2C{=}O + HNO_2 + 2CrOClO^-N^+H_2Et_2$ (1)

DEACC undergoes two-electron change. This is in accordance with the earlier observations with structurally similar other halochromates also. It has already been shown that both pyridinium fluorochromate (PFC)[4] and pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC)[2] act as two electron oxidants and are reduced to chromium (IV) species by determining the oxidation state of chromium by magnetic susceptibility, ESR and IR studies.

Rate Laws: The reactions are of first order with respect to DEACC. Further, the pseudo-first order rate constant, k_{obs} is independent of the initial concentration of DEACC. The reaction rate increases with increase in the concentration of the oximes but not linearly (Table 1). Figure 1 depicts a typical kinetic run. A plot of $1/k_{obs}$ against 1/[Oxime] is linear ($r > 0.995$) with an intercept on the rate-ordinate (Figure 2). Thus, Michaelis-Menten type kinetics is observed with respect to oximes. This leads to the postulation of following overall mechanism (2) and (3) and rate law (4).

$$\text{Oxime} + \text{DEACC} \underset{}{\overset{K}{\leftrightarrows}} [\text{Complex}] \quad (2)$$

$$[\text{Complex}] \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{Products} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Rate} = k_2 K [\text{Oxime}] [\text{DEACC}] / (1 + K [\text{Oxime}]) \quad (4)$$

The dependence of k_{obs} on the concentration of oxime was studied at different temperatures and the values of K and k_2 were evaluated from the double reciprocal plots. The thermodynamic parameters for the complex formation and activation parameters of the disproportionation of the complexes at 298 K were calculated from the values of K and k_2 respectively at different temperatures (Tables 2 and 3).

Effect of Solvents: The oxidation of acetaldoxime was studied in nineteen different solvents. The choice of solvents was limited due to the solubility of the reactants and the reaction of DEACC with primary and secondary alcohols. There was no reaction with chosen solvents. The kinetics were similar in all the solvents. The values of k_2 are recorded in table 4.

Table 1
Rate constants for the oxidation of acetaldoxime by DEACC at 288 K

10^3 [DEACC] / (mol dm^{-3})	[Oxime] / (mol dm^{-3})	10^4 k_{obs} / s^{-1}
1.00	0.10	9.30
1.00	0.20	13.6
1.00	0.40	17.6
1.00	0.60	19.6
1.00	0.80	20.8
1.00	1.00	21.5
1.00	1.50	22.6
1.00	3.00	23.8
2.00	0.20	13.5
4.00	0.20	12.6
6.00	0.20	14.4
8.00	0.20	13.9
1.00	0.40	18.0*
*contained 0.001 M acrylonitrile		



Figure 1: Oxidation of Acetaldoxime by DEACC: A typical Kinetic Run



Figure 2: Oxidation of Acetaldoxime by DEACC: A double reciprocal plot

Table 2
Rate constants and activation parameters for the oxidation of DEACC-Oximes (R^1 R^2 C = N – OH) Complexes

Substituent (R)	10^4 k_2 / (dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1})				ΔH^*	$-\Delta S^*$	ΔG^*
	288	298	308	318	(kJ mol^{-1})	(J mol^{-1} K^{-1})	(kJ mol^{-1})
H – H	3440	3920	4460	5720	10.0±0.2	220±3	75.3±1.0
H – Me	131	196	272	141	26.2±0.8	190±3	82.8±0.6
H – Et	101	152	227	350	28.3±0.6	183±2	83.4±0.5
H – Pr	53.6	86.0	124	198	30.1±0.8	184±3	84.8±0.7
H – Pr^i	37.4	64.2	98.6	161	34.1±0.6	173±2	85.6±0.5
H – $ClCH_2$	242	310	393	531	17.2±0.7	217±2	81.6±0.6
H – Ph	216	333	513	801	30.6±0.6	171±2	81.4±0.4
Me – Me	5.49	10.1	18.0	33.3	43.0±0.7	158±2	90.1±0.6
Me – Et	4.25	8.01	14.6	27.6	44.8±0.7	155±2	90.6±0.6
Et – Et	3.29	6.34	11.8	23.4	46.9±0.9	149±3	91.2±0.8
Me – Ph	24.3	33.3	45.0	63.0	21.6±0.6	221±2	87.1±0.5

Table 3
Formation constants and thermodynamic parameters of the oxidation of DEACC- Oximes (R^1 R^2 C = N – OH) Complexes

Substituent (R)	K / (dm^3 mol^{-1})				$-\Delta H^*$	$-\Delta S^*$	$-\Delta G^*$
	288	298	308	318	(kJ mol^{-1})	(J mol^{-1} K^{-1})	(kJ mol^{-1})
H – H	6.36	5.52	4.72	3.96	14.5±0.4	27±1	6.70±0.3
H – Me	5.85	5.02	4.25	3.42	16.0±0.7	32±2	6.45±0.6
H – Et	6.45	5.62	4.86	4.02	14.4±0.6	26±1	6.74±0.5
H – Pr	5.94	5.15	4.32	3.53	15.7±0.6	31±2	6.50±0.5
H – Pr^i	5.77	4.95	4.14	3.33	16.4±0.7	34±2	6.41±0.6
H – $ClCH_2$	5.58	4.75	3.93	3.15	17.0±0.7	36±2	6.31±0.5
H – Ph	6.57	5.76	4.92	4.16	14.1±0.4	25±1	6.79±0.4
Me – Me	6.21	5.42	4.56	3.78	15.1±0.6	29±2	6.63±0.5
Me – Et	6.03	5.25	4.43	3.62	15.4±0.7	30±2	6.55±0.5
Et – Et	5.67	4.85	4.07	3.24	16.6±0.7	35±2	6.36±0.6
Me – Ph	5.43	4.60	3.82	3.05	17.0±0.7	37±2	6.23±0.5

Table 4
Effect of solvents on the oxidation of acetaldoxime by DEACC at 308 K

Solvents	K (dm^{-3} mol^{-1})	10^4 k_2 ($dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$)	Solvents	K (dm^{-3} mol^{-1})	10^4 k_2 ($dm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1}$)
Chloroform	4.59	83.2	Toluene	5.63	14.8
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.58	91.2	Acetophenone	5.29	95.5
Dichloromethane	5.94	79.4	Tetrahydrofuran	5.60	13.5
DMSO	4.25	272	t-Butylalcohol	4.77	28.2
Acetone	4.76	74.1	1,4-Dioxane	5.90	43.7
DMF	5.85	120	1,2-Dimethoxyethane	4.48	30.2
Butanone	5.90	51.3	Carbondisulphide	5.77	7.24
Nitrobenzene	4.99	87.1	Acetic Acid	4.70	47.9
Benzene	5.44	22.4	Ethyl Acetate	5.63	24.0
Cyclohexane	5.88	1.78			

The linear correlation (r^2 = 0.9912, slope = 0.487±0.024) between the values of the activation enthalpies and entropies of the reaction indicated the operation of a significant compensation effect in this reaction[12]. The reaction exhibited an excellent isokinetic effect as determined by Exner's method[7]. An Exner's plot between log k_2 at 288 K and at 318 K is linear (r^2 = 0.9988, slope = 0.7838±0.01) (Figure 3). The value of isokinetic temperature is 513±27 K. A linear isokinetic relationship is a necessary condition for the validity of linear free energy relationships. It also implies that all reactions so correlated follow a similar mechanism[7].



Figure 3: Exner's Isokinetic Relationship in the oxidation of Oximes by DEACC

Solvent Effect: The rate constants k_2 of the oxidation of acetaldoxime in eighteen solvents (Carbon disulphide CS_2 was not considered, as the complete range of solvent parameters was not available) were correlated in terms of the linear solvation energy relationship (Eq. 5) as per Kamlet et al.[11]

$$\log k_2 = A_0 + p\pi^* + b\beta + a\alpha \quad (5)$$

$$\log k_2 = -3.61 + 1.75\ (\pm 0.23)\ \pi^* + 0.24\ (\pm 0.19)\ \beta + 0.15\ (\pm 0.18)\ \alpha \quad (6)$$
R^2 = 0.8344 ; sd = 0.21 ; n = 18 ; ψ = 0.45

$$\log k_2 = -3.57 + 1.70\ (\pm 0.22)\ \pi^* + 0.29\ (\pm 0.18)\ \beta \quad (7)$$
R^2 = 0.8267 ; sd = 0.21 ; n = 18 ; ψ = 0.44

$$\log k_2 = -3.52 + 1.77\ (\pm 0.22)\ \pi^* \quad (8)$$
r^2 = 0.7970 ; sd = 0.22 ; n = 18 ; ψ = 0.46

$$\log k_2 = -2.58 + 0.59\ (\pm 0.38)\ \beta \quad (9)$$
r^2 = 0.1317 ; sd = 0.46 ; n = 18 ; ψ = 0.96

Kamlet et al's[11] triparametric equation explains *ca.* 83% of the effect of solvent on the oxidation. However, by Exner's criterion[6], the correlation is not even satisfactory (cf. 6). The major contribution is of solvent polarity. It alone accounted for *ca.* 80% of the data. Both β and α play relatively minor roles.

The data (including that of CS_2) on the solvent effect was analysed in terms of Swain's equation[22] of cation- and anion-solvating concept of the solvents.

$$\log k_2 = aA + bB + C \quad (10)$$

where A represents the anion-solvating power of the solvent and B represents the cation-solvating power. C is the intercept term. (A + B) is postulated to represent the solvent polarity. The rates in different solvents were analyzed in terms of (10), separately with A and B and with (A + B).

$$\log k_2 = 1.47\ (\pm 0.04)\ A + 1.68\ (\pm 0.03)\ B - 4.10 \quad (11)$$
R^2 = 0.9964 ; sd = 0.03 ; n = 19 ; ψ = 0.06

$$\log k_2 = 1.23\ (\pm 0.55)\ A - 2.75 \quad (12)$$
r^2 = 0.4484 ; sd = 0.45 ; n = 19 ; ψ = 0.76

$$\log k_2 = 1.57\ (\pm 0.26)\ B - 3.90 \quad (13)$$
r^2 = 0.6804 ; sd = 0.29 ; n = 19 ; ψ = 0.58

$$\log k_2 = 1.61 \pm 0.04\ (A + B) - 3.89 \quad (14)$$
r^2 = 0.9916 ; sd = 0.05 ; n = 19 ; ψ = 0.09

The rates of oxidation of acetaldoxime in different solvents showed an excellent correlation in Swain's equation [cf. 11] with both the anion- and cation-solvating powers playing almost equal role. However, individually A and B are able to account for only 45 and 68% of the data only. The solvent polarity, represented by (A + B), also exhibited an excellent correlation. In view of the fact that solvent polarity is able to account for *ca.* 99% of the data, an attempt was made to correlate the rate with the relative permittivity of the solvent. However, a plot of $\log k_2$ against the inverse of the relative permittivity is not very significant (r^2 = 0.4810 ; sd = 0.37 ; ψ = 0.74).

The analysis of solvent effect indicated the formation of an activated complex which is more polar than the reactants. Since both anion- and cation-solvation are important, the activated complex of the rate-determining step seems to be dipolar. Therefore, the formation of an activated complex with partial negative and positive charges is indicated.

Correlation Analysis of Reactivity: We could not find any report about the mechanism of the reaction between C=N bond and a halochromate derivative. However, the reaction of alkenes with chromium (VI) has been well studied[10]. Since, olefinic bonds are not usually subject to a nucleophilic attack, it has been suggested that in the alkene-chromate reaction, an organometallic derivative is formed initially[10]. The organo-metallic derivative then change to a chromium (IV) diester in the rate-determining step. However, carbon-nitrogen double bonds, being dipolar in nature, can be easily attacked by a nucleophile. The data in table 2 showed that the rate of oxidation of ketoximes is much less as compared to that of the aldoximes. The reason for the slower reaction of ketoximes must be steric.

As the central carbon changes from a trigonal to a tetragonal state, the crowding around it increases. This increase in the steric crowding will be more in the case of ketoximes as compared to that in aldoximes. This observation is supported by the correlation analysis of the reactivity of the aldoximes also. The rate of oxidation of the aliphatic oximes did not yield significant correlation separately with Tafts's σ^* and E_S values [Eqs. (15) and (16)]. The rates were, therefore, correlated with Pavelich-Taft's[16] dual substituent-parameter as in eq. 17.

$$\log k_2 = 1.21\pm0.34\,\sigma^* - 2.44 \quad (15)$$

r^2 = 0.6475, sd = 0.52, n = 9, ψ = 0.63, Temp. = 308 K

$$\log k_2 = 0.99\pm0.06\,E_S - 2.74 \quad (16)$$

r^2 = 0.9753, sd = 0.14, n = 9, ψ = 0.17, Temp. = 308 K

$$\log k_2 = \rho^*\sigma^* + \delta E_S + \log k_0 \quad (17)$$

The rates exhibited excellent correlations in terms of the Pavelich-Taft equation (Table 5); the reaction constants are positive.

Mechanism: The low positive polar reaction constant points to an almost cyclic transition state in which the formation of the bond between chromate-oxygen and the carbon is somewhat ahead of the formation of N – O bond. This supports a nucleophilic attack by a chromate-oxygen on the carbon. The positive steric reaction constant points to a steric hindrance by the substituents. Therefore, scheme 1 is proposed for the reaction. The mechanism is supported by the values of activation parameters also. The low values of enthalpy of activation indicate that the bond-cleavage and bond-formation are almost synchronous. The large negative entropies of activation support the formation of a rigid cyclic activated complex from two acyclic molecules.

The faster oxidation of benzaldoxime may be attributed to the resonance stablization of the cyclic activated complex. The oxidation of benzphenoxime is much slower. This may be due to steric hindrance by the bulky phenyl and methyl groups. Hydroxynitrene (N-OH) has been recently reported as a very reactive intermediate[14].

Conclusion

Oxidation of oximes by DEACC is a reaction subject to the steric hindrance by the alkyl group. Oxidation of ketoximes is slower than acetaldoximes. The reaction proceeds through a cyclic intermediate in the rate-determining step.

Table 5
Reaction constants for the oxidative deoximination of aliphatic aldoximes by DEACC[a]

Temp./ K	ρ^*	δ	r^2	Sd	ψ
288	0.46±0.01	0.94±0.01	0.9998	0.014	0.02
298	0.39±0.01	0.89±0.01	0.9999	0.006	0.02
308	0.33±0.01	0.83±0.01	0.9998	0.009	0.03
318	0.27±0.01	0.79±0.01	0.9997	0.013	0.03
[a] Number of compounds is 6					



Scheme 1: Oxidation of Oximes by DEACC

Acknowledgement

Thanks are due to CSIR, New Delhi for awarding CSIR-SRF to Ketul Kumawat and to Head, Department of Chemistry, RG Girls (PG) College, Meerut, HOD, Chemistry J.N.V. University, Jodhpur and to Professor P.K. Sharma for helpful and critical suggestion.

References

1. Baltrok I.M., Sadegi M.M., Mahmoodi N. and Kharamesh B., *Indian J. Chem.*, **36B**, 438 **(1997)**

2. Bhattacharjee M.N., Choudhuri M.K., Dasgupta H.S., Roy N. and Khathing D.T., *Synthesis*, **7**, 588 **(1982)**

3. Bishnoi V., Prakash O. and Sharma P.K., *Rasayan J. Chem.*, **14(4)**, 2478-2485 **(2021)**

4. Brown H.C., Rao G.C. and Kulkarni S.U., *J. Org. Chem.*, **44**, 2809-2810 **(1979)**

5. Chandora D., Kumar D., Choudhary A. and Sharma V., *J. Adv. Sci. Res.*, **12(3)**, 155 **(2021)**

6. Exner O., *Collect Chem. Czech. Commun.*, **31**, 3222 **(1966)**

7. Exner O., *Collect Chem. Czech. Commun.*, **38**, 411 **(1977)**

8. Feigl F. and Anger A., Spot Tests in Organic Analysis, Elsevier Publ. **(1966)**

9. Firouzabadi H. and Sadarian A., *Synth. Commun*., **13**, 863 **(1983)**

10. Freeman F., Fuselier C.O., Armstead C.R., Dalton C.E., Davidson P.A., Karchefski E., Krochman D.F., Johnson M.N. and Jones N.K., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **103**, 1154 **(1983)**

11. Kamlet M.J., Abboud J.L.M., Abraham M.H. and Taft R.W., *J. Org. Chem.*, **48**, 2877 **(1983)**

12. Leema Rose A., Janeeta Priya F., Vidhya S. and Amsica T.R., *Res. J. Biotech.*, **18(11)**, 58-64 **(2023)**

13. Mahanti M.K. and Banerji K.K., *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **79**, 31-44 **(2002)**

14. Maier G., Reisenauer H.P. and Marco M.D., *Angew. Chem.*, **38**, 108 **(1999)**

15. Mathur L., Choudhary A., Prakash O. and Sharma P.K., *Asian J. Chem.*, **26(9)**, 2597-2603 **(2014)**

16. Pavelich W.A. and Taft R.W., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **79**, 4935 **(1957)**

17. Perrin D.D., Armarego W.L. and Perrin D.R., Purification of organic Compounds, Pergamon Press, Oxford **(1966)**

18. Purohit A., Kalla R., Baghmar M. and Sharma V., *J. Inter. Cycle. Res.*, **13(10)**, 319 **(2021)**

19. Rao A., Purohit T., Swami P., Purohit P. and Sharma P.K., *Eur. Chem. Bull.*, **5(5)**, 189 **(2016)**

20. Singh V., Mahajan S., Jasrotiya V.S., Sharma M., Sheikh H.N. and Kalsotra B.L., *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 528 **(2009)**

21. Songara U., Sharma G., Ranga V., Mathur L. and Sharma P.K., *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, **93(10)**, 1205 **(2016)**

22. Swain C.G., Swain M.S., Pqwel A.L. and Alunni S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 502 **(1983)**

23. Swami P., Yajurvedi D., Mishra P. and Sharma P.K., *Int. J. Chem. Kinet*, **42**, 50 **(2010)**

24. Vogel A.I., A Text Book of Practical Organic Chemistry, ELBS, London, 343 **(1973)**.

(Received 02nd January 2024, accepted 25th February 2024)